

Bird use of early successional sandbar vegetation for nesting: What do we lose by managing sandbars for plovers and terns?



Nefas, Stephanie M., Dixon, Mark D., Swanson, David L.* University of South Dakota, Department of Biology, Vermillion, SD 57069 USA

INTRODUCTION

- •Bare sandbars are necessary for two federally listed species Interior Least Tern (Sternula antillarum) and Piping Plover (Charadrius melodus).
- New sandbar formation is limited along the Missouri River, but habitat is maintained for sandbar-dependent species by preventing the colonization of early successional cottonwood-willow forest.

- Early successional forest may supply habitat for a diverse bird community.
- •An understanding of the biological tradeoffs to vegetation management options is necessary for a balanced ecosystem-based approach to sandbar management.

METHODS Data Collection: 2018

• Point Counts

- Breeding Season: 7 locations visited twice at least 10 days apart
- Fall Migration: 4 locations weekly for several weeks
- Nest Monitoring: 3-4 day frequency at 3 locations (N = 97, 14 Species)
- Nest Success: Mayfield Method

STUDY AREA





CONCLUSIONS

•Cumulative nest survival recorded in nearby mature riparian forest 36% and in farmstead woodlots 44%,Yellow Warbler nest success reported as 28% (Gentry et al.2006).

Overall, nesting birds were less successful during the 2018 breeding season than we expected. The 2018 breeding season experienced higher water levels than a typical year and this may have influenced nesting success. Further comparisons of species-specific nest survival will help identify key breeding areas and migratory stopover sites.

Literature Cited:

Gentry D.J., D.L. Swanson, and J. D. Carlisle. 2006. Species richness and nesting success of migrant forest birds in natural river corridors and anthropogenic woodlands in Southeastern South Sakora. The Condor 108: 140-153.